

Out of Mind Dialogs

Mainly the dialogs are intentionally left "unfinished", we never follow them to a conclusion. Most are about different worlds coming together, some with lack of empathy and are so crashing or with a wish to stir up something and some are more exploratory of concepts.

-Who the hell are you?

-To answer who will be the same for all of us, maybe you wonder what role is played.

-Played? There is no charades going on here pal, this is reality!

-It sure feels real.

-It sure dose! Do you know why?

-Please, share why.

-It IS real!

-You have great faith in this?

-Buddy! You confuse faith with FACT!

-The extent of your certainty in this is vast. Can you see it looks different from here?

-Whatever! Are you saying, "Reality" is all a "Fake"?

-If that is how you chose to express it, yes.

-Then all would be pointless!

-Wonderful, is it not?

-No! Why the hell are you here if it is all a fake and pointless?

-Why watch a movie?

-Who do you think is the most attractive?

-Less than microscopic, is thought about it.

-What?

-To experience beauty with you, thought will only obstruct.

-Bah! Who do you see as the most attractive then?

-In this form, with you unconditionally in focus, you are all there is.

-With me in focus? What about if you drift off to someone else you like?

-Then this someone else is in focus, and is all there is.

-Can you not just compare us?

-How can comparison be made with one uniquely experienced?

-Well if we stand really close?

-With the so called normal/linear sight or perception, focus would still be on one at the time.

-Ok, ok! Say we stand really close and you look at us in another way, a bit out of focus. Or include a larger view, not that linear stuff!

-Than it is all love!

-Are you an alien?

-What are you insisting on?

-Only wondering if you were an alien.

-Why? Why do you wonder that?

-Is not that an odd question, and to a question.

-No! Do you think I might be an alien?

-Can "you" control "your" thoughts?

-Yes!

-More than "your" breathing?

-I see what you are getting at. Well...

-Can others thoughts alter or hurt you?

-Mere thoughts no, but...

-Why then do you care what is thought about you?

-It MIGHT involve how you act!

-Why not just act?

-Hello? Why are you here?

-To simultaneously collect and receive a part of the portal left with you.

-Part of the portal you say?

-Yes, most of us believe we have to leave parts of it where we experience love.

-Ah, as when someone steals a piece of your heart?

-Portal and heart could be synonymous, yes...

-And I stole yours?

-From here nothing is possessed and can than never been stolen.

-Still, it is with me and you would like it back?

-Yes, confusion arose and a part was left with you.

-I wonder, right now with me, how can you tell who has it?

-One can only tell in change. If as much is experienced - though perhaps with an altered savor – it is whole.

-So if you leave here and feel as much pain or confusion as you feel love now, you have it?

-In a way, it is more than the intensity of feelings but basically yes.

-It does not sound that nice with intensified emotional pain.

-Well, the feelings of confusion and pain can be shared and so transmuted into even more love...

-Ok I get you. But what if you feel neither, effortless, love, confusion, nor pain and only a dull diminishment of life?

-Some of the portal still lingers in the past, fooled you believe leaving it there will maintain the love.

-And this is not so?

-Nothing can keep, control, or maintain neither love nor presence.

-Even thought that sounds scary I guess you are saying it always flow through us, when we let it. And the more of the portal we have with us the more is experienced?

-Thank you for clarifying this concept.

-You are not who you think you are.

-Yes I am!

-You are who you think you are.

-You contradict yourself!

-No, it is both.

-How can it be both?

-It is an infinite dance between the two.

-Between me and what?

-The more you think you are, the more you are. The more you see you are not, you are not.

-Well I more than think who I am, I know who I am!

-Than you are almost only in the latter "You are who you think you are".

-So what, that is reality!

-No it is less than a fraction of reality.

-You say nothing is yours, how is that?

-You know the odd feeling when you talk about yourself in third person?

-Yes, when I say my name instead of "I"?

-Exactly, how does it feel doing that?

-Strange, uncomfortable, as if I am split somehow.

-Similar unease is experienced when using "I". It is only a substitute for the name.

-To connect to my first question, you still use stuff as if they were yours?

-Except, the view of possessiveness is traded for usefulness in current form.

-Ah, and this springs from the non-split.

-A view of oneness is a way of expression.

-How do you view consciousness? I mean I know that I know.

-What is it that knows, that you know, that you know?

-You mean for example what is conscious of that I am conscious of what I feel?

-And what is conscious of all you just said?

-Lets slow down here...

-No, it is a fool's errand.

-But still I feel feelings.

-Or is there only feeling?

-What are you doing here?

-Enjoying.

-Enjoying? What?

-Experiencing.

-Experiencing? How?

-Loving.

-Loving? What?

-Oh, "Loving" could be interpreted as one is suppose to or expected to love.

-And one is not?

-Anything forced, supposed, expected, demanded, controlled and so on, or coming out of a must, should, obligation etc. will be distorted and in the end destroyed.

-Even love?

-Especially love!

-Let me get this straight once and for all!

-What if the nature of it is to be wiggly?

-No matter the form, I know you say it cannot be expressed in words and so on.

-Ah, yes.

-But can you just answer my questions so that I can understand or at least catch the general drift?

-Ask away, though there is nothing to understand.

-Yes yes, I will do the non-understanding. Can we start now?

-It has already started or rather it never stopped.

-Ok then! How long have you...

-Would you like to replace your intellectual non-understanding with something?

-Ah, you mean so that I know what to do instead of what not to do or non-do?

-Yes, a view of this dialog as a play of words, curiosity and exploration might be helpful.

-Thank you!

-Let me get this straight once and for all!

-What is this you believe needs straightening?

-I wonder what has happened to you, what have you done to become the way you are?

-Within your question – the very way it is asked – lays the barrier for us to see alike.

-But how do you do it and see the way you see?

-First of all "I" "DO" nothing.

-But things do happen with, out of and around you!

-When what you call "me" is one, no control is needed and all happens by "itself".

-But there must be something one can do or not do!

-It is actually infinitely easier than you think! There is no problem unless we make one.

-What is this problem we have made ourselves then?

-A view of separateness, a split. Leading to mistrust of this imaginary "other" – no matter in ourselves, nature, other people, nations etc. – it springs belief in the inevitability for control.

-You said something about how I asked my first question, are you willing to clarify?

-Certainly, you assume what has happened determines what is now.

-Yes of course, cause and effect.

-Yes of course... From here that is as abstract as any measurement, temperature, mass, and so on.

-Are you saying that "time" and "cause and effect" are simply helpful tools to play with and not real?

-The past – as anything –has as much influence and power as we give it.

-And if none is given?

-Then in every change you are born again.